

Action Plan/Objective	Strategy	Strategy Prioritization	Partner Requirement	Strategy implementation based on funding		
				Scenario I [1]	Scenario II [2]	Scenario III [3]
MMVS.3 Support and Develop Research Programs To Reduce the Risk of Vessel Strikes	(3.1) Work with NOAA Fisheries Service to support their ongoing database of all known vessel strikes in and around the sanctuary.	H	●	●	●	●
	(3.2) Work with NOAA Fisheries Service to institute a toll free number to enable callers to anonymously report vessel strikes in the sanctuary.	M	●	●	●	■
	(3.3) Investigate research strategies to determine responses of whales to approaching vessels.	H	■	●	●	●
	(3.4) Conduct year-round monitoring to identify type, size, speed, and route of vessels in the sanctuary.	H	■	●	●	■
	(3.5) Investigate use of forward-looking sonar or other real-time detection technologies.	L	■	●	■	○
Marine Mammal Entanglement (MME)						
MME.1 Aid Disentanglement Efforts	(1.1) Maximize the degree to which entangled animals in the sanctuary are sighted and reported.	H	■	●	●	●
	(1.2) Maximize ability of vessels and aircraft to stand-by entangled animals.	H	■	●	●	●
	(1.3) Undertake activities leading to improved understanding and prevention of entanglement events in SBNMS and improvements in disentanglement efforts.	M	■	●	■	■
MME.2 Reduce Marine Mammal Interaction with the Trap/Pot Fishery	(2.1) Require gear modifications.	H	■	●	●	■
	(2.2) Serve as test-bed to develop and demonstrate low-risk fishing gear.	M	■	●	■	■
MME.3 Reduce Marine Mammal Interaction with the Gillnet Fishery	(3.1) Require gear modifications.	H	■	●	●	■
	(3.2) Develop research programs.	M	■	●	■	○
MARITIME HERITAGE MANAGEMENT						
Maritime Heritage (MH)						
MHR.1 Establish a MHR Program	(1.1) Develop the foundation and infrastructure for a MH program and integrate the MH program into existing sanctuary programs.	H	○	●	●	●
	(1.2) Identify and pursue additional sources of funding beyond the NMSP.	H	■	●	●	●
	(1.3) Identify and form partnerships, relationships, and Memoranda of Understanding (MOU) with entities that have specialized knowledge and abilities that support the documentation and interpretation of the sanctuary's MHR.	M	●	●	■	○

BUDGET SCENARIOS: [1] Scenario I (full funding: \$2.4M not including federal salaries) [2] Scenario II (20% increase from level funding) [3] Scenario III (level funding: \$1.46M)
 ● Full ■ Partial ○ None

Action Plan/Objective	Strategy	Strategy Prioritization	Partner Requirement	Strategy implementation based on funding		
				Scenario I [1]	Scenario II [2]	Scenario III [3]
MHR.2 Inventory, Assess and Characterize MHR	(2.1) Characterize prehistoric and historic use patterns to assist with the location of historical resources through the identification and collection of historical, archaeological, and anthropological documentation.	H	■	●	■	○
	(2.2) Conduct systematic field surveys to locate, identify, and inventory historical resources.	H	○	●	●	■
MHR.2 Inventory, Assess and Characterize MHR, continued	(2.3) Assess historical resources for their NRHP eligibility and nominate appropriate sites to the NRHP.	H	■	●	●	■
	(2.4) Characterize historical resources within the SBNMS.	H	○	●	●	■
MHR.3 Protect and Manage MHR	(3.1) Implement a management system that protects historical resources while allowing for uses compatible with resource protection.	H	○	●	●	■
	(3.2) Implement a assessment protocol to establish appropriate site designations for sanctuary historical resources.	H	■	●	●	■
	(3.3) Identify partnerships and relationships for site monitoring and compliance of historical resource permits and regulations.	M	●	●	■	○
	(3.4) Develop and implement an interpretive enforcement program	H	●	●	■	○
	(3.5) Develop and implement a mooring buoy system on historical resources in collaboration with affected parties and regional scuba diving charter operators	M	■	●	■	○
	(3.6) Implement the NMSP Permitting Guidelines for archaeological research (i.e., survey and inventory permit and archaeological research permit).	H	○	●	●	■
	(3.7) Develop and implement collection and conservation policies for artifacts previously recovered from SBNMS before and after designation.	L	■	●	○	○
MHR.4 Develop and Implement a MHR Outreach and Education Program	(4.1) Identify and partner with regional museums, through MOU/Agreements, to conduct MH exhibits and other outreach programs.	H	●	●	■	○
	(4.2) Develop and implement an artifact documentation and curation program through partnerships and relationships with local or regional maritime museums.	L	●	●	■	○
MHR.5 Assess Shipwrecks and Other Submerged Objects for Potential Hazards	(5.1) Establish an inventory of shipwrecks and submerged objects, inside and outside of SBNMS boundaries that may pose environmental threats to resources.	M	■	●	■	○
	(5.2) Coordinate information exchanges pertaining to shipwrecks and other submerged objects as environmental threats with NOAA's HAZMAT division and the National Marine Sanctuary Program for the development of the SHIELDS and RUST database systems.	M	■	●	■	○
	(5.3) Identify shipwrecks and other submerged sites to be examined with remote sensing technology and report findings to state and federal trustees.	M	■	●	■	○
	(5.4) Establish a monitoring program for shipwreck and submerged sites that have been located and are considered a threat to SBNMS.	M	■	●	■	○

BUDGET SCENARIOS: [1] Scenario I (full funding: \$2.4M not including federal salaries) [2] Scenario II (20% increase from level funding) [3] Scenario III (level funding: \$1.46M)

● Full ■ Partial ○ None